

5-10-06

How was frog, as a way of "preventing"
SA's new program?

(before Paris)

on Al Franken, Feb.
5-10-06

"Hitler's invasion of Russia was

well conceived, but poorly executed."

(Dems on frog)

Cuba-I (not enough air)

Reaction to Katrina.

Pearl Harbor: perfectly executed,
but a strat. disaster

Poland, France, etc.

in Russia — well executed

fails in SEA, West Pac

(except too late in
the year; no prep
for winter, but
frog, as prep. for
messaging)

Cf. from

Would an attack on Iraq have been "better"?

Yes: but not solution to his new program
or his power! See Gulf War!

Would give up interpreters, which did stop him

No mid. solution that doesn't make situation
worse — that is better not worse than pre-
sent program (esp. w. interpreters, but even
without) or even an Iranian new

(≠ Hitler new, OPEC new)

Iran with has ties with AQ
(now) nor could give one help to
AQ or Humans or Hezbollah.

(see gas, b-r missiles)

Worth to Amy Goodman, Aug. 14 - 06

This spring, USAF approached the Air Force

Army attention: to plan

3-for: start briefly continuing, due
for plans for them

& don't think

2) Must take care of Nag. missiles before
attacking

3) Get rid of Nasrallah, Nag - a T group.

So would have done it originally but
time

After Holt captured of Nasas, SI shows
covert encouraging Nag to do something

"Next time Nag made a move, So uses
going school - it came 10 days later.

So convinced it would be long - thanks of
Holt.

One of AF options & know about was, is from
Super-Shock + Ave - 36 hours - hit infra - people
would care out, "f" shelter and say,
"Oh my God, the Americans have done this to us"

for (last year, in civ wide + Army
they would wake up and install nuclear regime.

However, I always had believed that Christies + Lewis would rise up against
Saddam Nag.

SH

Seeing cultural

Don't know how much Bush was involved.

When one option was shelved, (late April)

He was surprised that Nas. moved so fast

For first time, Rumsy didn't seem to be on board —
"like McN" (f '67, he desired VN was
uninvolved)

so R. was not happy with idea of proportional
move

I don't think Jim + Lyric control Nas. like my Wash
thinks

SH has not disagreed a lot!

He's religious He's got alive eyes, and he's
got humor.

Tell off. encouraged SH to see him — for
his views on Syria!

"You can't put the Admin to think about the
down side on anything."

So. some on Lebanon.

Pres. of Syria has shoved SH letters to Wash
saying "We can help you on Syria — we don't
want a Somalia in Syria"

Closed We learned more about A.Q. from Lyric
than from other country

"We went to see you in the way on
terror

(He wanted to talk to Lyric about Green Knights
in 2003 — US disagreed this — didn't

SH - "Nothing's going to happen before this election."

Bush: I know I'm right — I know people don't quite believe me — but like Orwell

When

Ahmed is my ultimate plan — inform policy

SH

ICG — inevitably wacky solution

Supporting Iran, dealing with Iraq at some time or hostages and central war

real aim to do, so ^{SH} sold ones to Iran, may to contras

crazy policy — when it amounted, it should have led to invasion for Reagan, but he was known to be in early stages of Alzheimer's (:

UN 1559 demand to take control & destroy Hezbollah

242 — impossible (Syria had to leave — half the army was Syrian, they could ~~not~~ controlled)

Al Franken:

\$-3-06

What do I have to say beyond what's in
Hedges article? (which AF hasn't read).

1) I don't think the background to know that
the ~~possibility~~ ^{possibility} of SN's article is to be
taken very seriously ... for the Admin
planing, desire, motives
and mil. preparations

AF to me: "Do you think there's ~~any~~ ^{of} possibility of them doing this?"

Attack: my belief, hard for us to stop
it, but possible.

No attack: more possible to stop it;
not less than $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ if we ignore
it; that low because of strong mil
resistance (SN);

This is mil. resistance to an
attack — but there was to ~~stop~~, too,
esp. to the attack (into the forces limited
as they were) Rumsfeld actually planned:
but that's what they did. In the end, they
sabotaged and went ahead with a plan
that they knew would fail, to pacify
the country — and they won right. It was then
subsequently informed — to Congress + the
public — that was wrong. We're caught
in a trap, not by knowingly but the
troops into it, to die and be maimed —

as when Charge of the Light Brigade —
Oh and another Tennyson to celebrate it —

Charge of the Light Brigade — Oh what
a spot to make! all the world
wondered. Some had blundered — we
knew the arms — but the results ain't
glorious.

Light is number, body armor,

little
Big
Non-
Croton
that sort of
possible

Away, the right Before did never come
and rolled out of the jaws of death —
they lost the 600.

This Pres didn't wait for that order.

- (2) ~~SH~~ & SHV can say something that
SH won't: "People with the same
access and same missions as do some
should take larger personnel with them
in some, and go beyond doing joint
discussions with SH at the Work Post."

If should put out docs — Pres + VP
planning orders, assess initial lots of costs and
dangers, entanglement of policy of the JCS —
let us know, ~~especially~~ unfortunately, what
men with combat + operational experience
and ME expertise — i.e. men ~~who~~ very different
from us or the WTF of AD staff, or
the Secretaries of ~~the~~ ^{over} Bush — are told
the WTF.

SH says the circs aren't listing just
as in Saigon. Well, let us know +
read — and Congress

Some, there are best books:
~~leading~~ ^{false} ~~fallacy~~ TS costs, in which
(using ^{the Pres how to tell}
Costs ~~known to~~ as defined, etc with the
noting that they are known to be
greater than what the user was promised
to the Pres ~~when he~~ or noting that he
knows them to be false when he knows
them (not "declassified")

(after Pers leaked), anyone else who released that same info could still be fined or prosecuted for releasing a class. doc.

Rice was still referring a work letter, as per usual it was still class, so it was declassified. i.e. a process that makes a doc available to everyone, with no further restrictions — generally.

(markings crossed out; that doesn't happen to copies in minutes, or release anyone who don't even know of its existence).

† can say: Rice does, cont does — is sufficient quantity

Has anyone went somewhere — except by ^{Acting} Rushmore officials or supporters — Cyber (likely) — done that right?

Has anyone intended to mislead the public — or done so?

Has anyone jeopardized a legit., necessary agent activity?

Rentention?

After illegal, warrantless wiretaps?

(are both "necessary"? Why not charge FISA?)

FISA Court has acted virtually long against rule to it for a wiretap: 15,000 grants,

4 rejections, of which 2 were just briefly postponed.

There is a legal, court process for listening to AQ suspects. Obviously, one is doing something — with the illegal help of Agent + others — that by itself

At in the FISA Court would find to
the unnecessary interview (of the party of
non-experts!) or unconstitutional (in
an emergency).

NYT argues clearly violated 798 - but
in this case, they are clearly properly
crisis

WPoat: Violation

like the interview it leads to,
no evidence has been given that the
interview or ^{the torture} itself was so necessary
~~over~~ to an extent of severity as to
overrule its constitutionality.

The plan to make the case is
either in a separate Courts — to charge
the law or in a civil court,
as a defense before a jury.

(After 9-11, no jury is going to be
biased against your action, or even
impartial to a defense of severity —
based on surveillance, not mere assumption
(like too many judges appointed by Reagan,
Bush & Bush 2)

8
4
2
14 years

(Kans v. the intercept & application have
changed since 1971-73, esp. since 1985)

NYT

(Morrison;
Reagan)

(Hargan): even if no prob. of conviction — loss
of character, sub., ... Nuccio

(Wilensky)

Answers

I have reasons for not meeting...

Evil
answer?
warning?

(Torture: like wounded visitors
There are laws against...

These are wars for them (which E
still exists.)
It's our local-wars.

Should it be suspended or stopped,
"after 9-11"?

Should we accept ~~Pro ^{right} ~~legislation~~~~
nearly and for prolonged period? NO

(Dangerous behavior, dangerous to accept
it, dangerous present
not to be peaceful signs of assassination

|| most extreme form of tyranny, dictatorship,
oppression, absolute power (evil)

arbitrary executive dictatorship
(capitalism)

Torture (more than death)
death

Koch: genocide, slavery, emulating,
masquerade
(we are)

Kinder: continues call for: New policy change
DB crisis of climate
is local trends

"There was no actual failure." (Will, a little...
Scott Ritter: World knew SH was long
disarmed by mid-1995.

"One banana Ig knew there were no
WMDs."

(Mazayevov: do we CW would not be
lethal after this period of time?)

Everest:

Torture; (cont'd...)

at Pres' belief (you) that he has infinite powers
(Kod): if torture — what not? Notly i prohibits
(banned to death)
Torture
(Kod is dead — Bush is
getting orders from ... Satan?)

(Banana)

is part of a pattern in Admin

Detention (vs. Art. 1, section 9, habeas corpus)

Torture

Aggression drug war

Airpower vs. Civs. Massacre

(Kill threats)

Non War

NSA "taps" — collection

Military surveillance (vs. Posse Comitatus)
martial law

"There was no intel failure." (Well, a little...)
Scott Ritter: World knew SH was loosely
designed f mid-1995.

~~The answer~~ If knew then were no
WMDs."

(Myanmar: Is now CW would not be
ethical after this period of time?)

Everest:

Torture; (sent...)

and Pres' belief (yes) that he has inherent powers

(Roth): If torture — what not? Roth is foolish

Boiled to death:

Tokyo

(Roth is dead — Bush is

giving orders from unlawful?

(Somalia)

is part of a pattern in Admin

Detention (vs. Art-1, section 9, habeas corpus)

Torture

Aggression Iraq Iran

Airpower vs. Civ. massacre

(Nuc threats)

Nuc War

NSA "taps" — collection

Military surveillance (vs. Posse Comitatus)

martial law